Evaluation Summary: Professional Skills – LIV CPD Intensive Program (1): Effective Presentations (FULLY BOOKED)

Q1. How would you rate the event overall?

- Excellent: 10
- Good: 6
- Average: 0
- Fair: 0
- Poor: 0

Q2. What was the best feature of the event?

Good presentation
Youtube Clip of Paul Potts
The speaker had planned the presentation. He was skilled at using humour, wit & appropriate comments regarding good bad & awful presentations. He was excellent
Empathy with audience and knowledge of the presenter with his own presentation
It was something I never considered properly before.
Person presenting was engaging and informative
Presenter
speaker
Refreshing presentation
entertaining and informative
Inspired me to try harder next time when a prepare a PowerPoint presentation
I couldn't single out one single feature - both his content and delivery were exemplary. He delivered enough information to get everyone thinking - without overload. Really very thought provoking.
it was very different and the use of visual effects was very captivating
informative and entertaining
Interesting ideas were presented

Q3. Can you suggest ways the event could be improved?

Giving an opportunity for questions
Not this speaker
Get some examples of How to and How NOT To for solicitors. I don't know really know how much use there is of Powerpoint by Solicitors with their clients (not much?)
No
no
a venue in the city which is more accessible
Longer
The Handout should be more useful; conveying the excellent ideas the presenter provided verbally
No

Q4. The content was pitched at the appropriate level

![Pie Chart]

Q5. The speaker/s had a sound knowledge of the topic

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Strongly Agree</td>
<td>14</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Undecided</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disagree</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Strongly Disagree</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Q6. The balance between theory and practice was appropriate

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Strongly Agree</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>Undecided</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
<th>Strongly Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>14</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

87% Strongly Agree
12% Agree
0% Undecided
0% Disagree
0% Strongly Disagree

Q7. What is your main area of practice?

- Commercial Property
- Commercial law
- Litigation Family Law & Franchise law
- Resources and Joint Ventures
- Retired
- Regulatory and Compliance
- Employment law
- Commercial/Intellectual property
- Commercial
- Industrial Relations
- Criminal Law
employment and anti-discrimination
Superannuation
commercial litigation
Corporate lawyer

Q8. Is there a speaker/topic you would like to see in future Professional Development events?

no
Leo Posen again
Advanced seminar on EEO issues

YES! I would dearly love a "superannuation package". There are several areas us super. lawyers need to know about and when it's all put together and pitched at us specifically, it's very useful. Unfortunately, it's not often done and I don't think it happened in the 07/08 CPD year. I would lover to get all my CPD points at a superannuation lawyers seminar or similar. Topics might include: Trusts Ethical issues for in-house lawyers Management Skills for in-house lawyers Estate Planning Contracts Insurance Litigation and claims Corporations Law (chapters 2 and 7) Dealing with Regulators Superannuation Industry (Supervision)Act and APRA Financial Planning I could go on and on.....

Q9. How did you hear about this event?

LIV What's on email: 7
LIV website: 5
LIV What's on newsletter: 1
LIV Journal: 0
Direct Mail/Email: 1
Colleague: 2

Q10. We welcome any other comments you may have

This was high entertainment value but little relevance to my practice. Perhaps a bit unfair to other presenters who used powerpoint which had been comprehensively bagged by this presenter.

The speaker did not provide an example of an effective legal presentation. You can't use Nessun Dorma for a presentation on, for example, the Crimes (Destruction of Documents) Act.

Presenter probably should not overuse American examples. None of the examples really related to solicitors in practice in Australia!

remove professional skills as a compulsory unit

Best presentation in a long time!
Mr Posen really knows what he's talking about and it's a message that everyone who has to sit through presentations will be glad their presenter has understood.

the room was unsuitable, too narrow and confined. also morning tea should have been set out better to avoid the crowds and people having to wait

---

**Speaker:** Les Posen, Clinical and Consulting Psychologist

**Rating**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rating</th>
<th>Count</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Excellent</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Good</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fair</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poor</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Comments**

See above: I think he should be a regular on your program as the vast majority of people have no idea how to present in an interesting and effective manner.